## **Curriculum Reform Needs for Civil Engineering Education in the USA**

JOSE M. ROESSET

CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT – TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY

MANY CONFERENCES, WORKSHOPS, AND SCHOLARLY PAPERS PRESENTED **OVER THE LAST 20-30 YEARS SUGGEST DISSATISFACTION WITH PRESENT ENGINEERING EDUCATION** 

## THERE IS A BELIEF THAT

UNIVERSITIES DO A GOOD JOB AT:
TEACHINTG BASIC SCIENCE &
ENGINEERING THEORY, AT FORMING
RESEARCHERS & ACADEMICS

BUT NOT AT EDUCATING ENGINEERS FOR ENGINEERING PRACTICE

# BORDOGNA (1998) saw the Civil Engineer of the 21st century as the MASTER INTEGRATOR

PRESENT CURRICULA DO NOT PREPARE STUDENTS FOR THIS ROLE!

# The Engineering Dean's Council and Corporate Roundtable (1994) recommended that universities expose engineering students to:

- Fundamentals of science
- Engineering Disciplines
- The broad world of practical engineering
- Teamwork
- Communication Skills
- Leadership

### WHAT THEY REALLY WANT

IS

NEW RENNAISSANCE ENGINEERS

### Characteristics of Renaissance Engineers

- Breadth of knowledge and interests
- Familiarity with the latest technologies and understanding of the relation to classical work
- Concern and appreciation for all aspects of engineering and the built environment

## ENGINEERING EDUCATION IN THE U.S.

### **BEFORE SPUTNIK**

- Emphasis on Professional /Practical Courses
- All Professors had Practical Experience
- American Engineers known as Doers

## ENGINEERING EDUCATION IN THE U.S.

#### AFTER SPUTNIK

- Emphasis on Basic and Engineering Science
- More theoretical courses at the expense of practice
- Reduced Laboratory work
- Reduced Design / Construction Content

## SOME PROBLEMS WITH PRESENT ENGINEERING CURRICULA IN THE U.S.

- Lack of continuity/coordination in coursework
- Excessive emphasis on theory
- Lack of practical examples and real cases
- Lack of open ended problems
- Fragmentation of analysis/design/construction
- Students do not acquire intuitive feeling/understanding of real behavior

### EDUCATIONAL INITIATIVES

- **OASCE CONFERENCES/SESSIONS**
- **ONSF INITIATIVES/COALITIONS**
- **ONAE STUDY**
- **OABET 2000**
- **OASCE BOK**

### DESIRED CURRICULUM TOPICS

- Programming/Planning of Facilities
- Public Sector Issues
- General Facility Design
- Construction Planning/Execution
- Performance Monitoring
- Retrofit, Rehabilitation

### Questions

• How many and what type of engineers does society need?

• How many and what types of engineers can we form /educate?

### **Types of Engineers**

Researchers

Global Megaproject Managers

Facility Designers/Builders/Operators

Regular Designers

Regular Builders

### **ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS**

Can we form the desired types of engineers in 4/5 years?

• How much will they learn in school and how much in practice?

Should the undergraduate/graduate curriculum be the same for all types of engineers?

### SUGGESTED IMMEDIATE ACTIONS

Incorporate Real Cases in Coursework

- Integrate planning/Design/Construction/Operation
- Use Visual Design/Construction Lab

Collaborate with Professional Engineers

## DESIGN/CONSTRUCTION INTEGRATION

- MUST COMBINE DESIGN OF STRUCTURES, FOUNDATIONS, OTHER SUBSYSTEMS
- MUST INCLUDE CONSTRUCTION PLANNING THROUGHOUT DESIGN
- VIRTUAL CONSTRUCTION MODELLING ALLOWS 3 SPACE DIMENSIONS + TIME LEADING TO DYNAMIC MODELING

## VIRTUAL DESIGN/CONSTRUCTION LABORATORY

- COMPUTERIZED 3-D VIRTUAL REALITY IS THE TECHNOLOGY THAT BRUNELLESCHI WOULD USE TODAY
- SUCCESSFUL CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERS ARE VISUALLY ORIENTED; <u>BUT</u> ENGINEERING EDUCATION IS PROBABLY ONLY REACHING ONE SIDE OF STUDENT BRAIN
- MUST REPLACE 2-D DRAFTING & SCHEDULING WITH 3-D DESIGN USING SOLID MODELS & TIMED CONSTRUCTION SIMULATION

### **CLOSURE**

- EDUCATING CIVIL ENGINEERS FOR SUCCESS IN THE 21ST CENTURY WILL REQUIRE SIGNIFICANT CHANGES IN THE U.S. APPROACH
- FOUR YEARS (120-128) DEGREE HOURS ARE INSUFFICIENT
- COURSES MUST INVOLVE REAL CASE STUDIES
- PRACTITIONERS MUST BE WILLING
   COLLABORATORS IN THE NEEDED CHANGES